UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/512,140	10/22/2004	Richard Hugh Clark	TS7607 US	1942
Yukiko Iwata	7590 04/23/200	EXAMINER		
Shell Oil Comp		MCAVOY, ELLEN M		
Intellectual Property P O Box 2463			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Houston, TX 77252-2463			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/23/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/512,140	CLARK ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ellen M. McAvoy	1797			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Personsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Fe	shruary 2008				
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 February 2008</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□						
J)الــا	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	closed in accordance with the practice under z	x parte Quayre, 1555 G.B. 11, 40	30 O.G. 210.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🛛	☑ Claim(s) <u>4,5 and 7-11</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>4,5 and 7-11</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
	•	•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
10)						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/512,140 Page 2

Art Unit: 1797

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4, 5 and 7-11 are still rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berlowitz et al (6,663,767) alone or in combination with Bacha et al (6,776,897).

Applicants' arguments filed 11 February 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As previously set forth Berlowitz et al ["Berlowitz"] disclose a diesel fuel blended fuel composition which comprises an undercut conventional diesel fuel and a Fischer-Tropsch derived diesel fuel. Berlowitz teaches that the blend demonstrates better than expected emissions and a reduced sulfur content when used in a diesel engine. See column 2, lines 17-63. The Fischer-Tropsch derived diesel fuel is set forth in columns 3-4 and the examiner is of the position that this meets the limitations of the Fischer-tropsch derived gas oil of the claims. The blended fuel composition was subjected to engine testing wherein the blended diesel fuel was compared to conventional petroleum diesel fuels. Berlowitz teaches that significantly lower emissions were produced from the diesel fuel blend when compared to two different conventional diesel fuels. See column 6, line 59 to column 7, line 40. The examiner maintains the position that Berlowitz meets the limitations of the above rejected independent claims. Applicants' invention may differ by adding a conventional additive, namely a detergent, to the diesel fuel blend which is not taught in Berlowitz. However, Bacha et al ["Bacha"] is added to teach that diesel fuel compositions containing Fischer-Tropsch derived diesel fuels may

Application/Control Number: 10/512,140 Page 3

Art Unit: 1797

comprise conventional additives such as detergents. See column 5, line 48 to column 6, line 66. Having the prior art references before the inventors at the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to have added a detergent to the diesel fuel blended composition of Berlowitz if its known imparted property was so desired.

In response applicants amended independent claim 4 to include that the method is one of reducing combustion related deposits in a diesel engine and argued that neither Berlowitz nor Bacha discloses a method of reducing combustion related deposits in a diesel engine (i.e., clean engine deposits) or a method for assessing the performance of a candidate diesel fuel composition by a certain method that includes measuring the level of combustion related deposits in a diesel engine. This is not deemed to be persuasive because the method of independent claim 4 comprises the step of "introducing into a combustion chamber of the engine a diesel fuel composition incorporating a Fischer-Tropsch derived gas oil" which is clearly taught by Berlowitz as set forth above. The preamble language "reducing combustion related deposits in a diesel engine" is not accorded any patentable weight since the method of adding a diesel fuel blend containing a Fischer-Tropsch derived fuel to a diesel engine is taught by the prior art. Although reducing engine deposits is not specifically set forth in Berlowitz, it has been held that the discovery of a previously unappreciated property of a prior art composition, or of a scientific explanation for the prior art's functioning, does not render the old composition patentably new to the discoverer. See Atlas Powder Co. v. Ireco Inc., 190 F.3d 1342,1347, 51 USPQ2d 1943, 1947 (Fed. Cir. 1999). Further the claiming of a new use, new function or unknown property which is inherently present in the prior art does not necessarily make the claim patentable. *In re Best*, 562 F.2d 1252, 1254, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977).

Application/Control Number: 10/512,140 Page 4

Art Unit: 1797

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicants are reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ellen M. McAvoy whose telephone number is (571) 272-1451. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-5:00) with alt. Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

Art Unit: 1797

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ellen M McAvoy/ Ellen M McAvoy Primary Examiner Art Unit 1797

EMcAvoy April 22, 2008